



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/645,313	08/20/2003	Douglas Stevenson	XTEN-1-1013	7617	
758	7590 02/15/2006		EXAMINER		
FENWICK & WEST LLP			FERNANDES, CHERYL M		
	LLEY CENTER				
801 CALIFORNIA STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MOUNTAIN	VIEW, CA 94041		2163		

DATE MAILED: 02/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

f						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/645,313	STEVENSON ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cheryl M. Fernandes	2163				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 26 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of		- 6:!:	:- !			
	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS	to mod within the time period set is	7 tir 117 07 01 10 41:07	a,			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	., .		the issues for			
(d) $igsqcup$ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	· ——	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-82</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

13. Other: _____.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims raise new issues such as embedding the association of the structured datum to the reference datum in the source data file and a communication component configured to transmit additional information from the reference database according to the association in response to a pointer being over the structured datum, the additional information being simultaneously displayable in an area proximate to the structured datum.

UYENLE WARY EXAMINER